

To: Henning, Alan[Henning.Alan@epa.gov]; Sweeney, Stephen[Sweeney.Stephen@epa.gov]; Carlin, Jayne[Carlin.Jayne@epa.gov]
Cc: allison.castellan@noaa.gov[allison.castellan@noaa.gov]; Waye, Don[Waye.Don@epa.gov]
From: Wu, Jennifer
Sent: Wed 10/1/2014 4:54:28 PM
Subject: RE: Order for Steve's Legal Review on OR CZARA Products

Hi Steve – you can hold off on looking at the pesticides rationale. I'm still wrapping it up.

What I'll be sending later in one attachment is the

- 1) Rationale (for public release – needs attorney RW); and
- 2) The issues paper, or “supplemental information” (for internal deliberation only, doesn't need attorney RW)

Then there'll be another attachment (or I'll include it with the original attachment) with the response to comments on pesticides, which will be released to the public and needs attorney RW.

From: Henning, Alan
Sent: Wednesday, October 01, 2014 9:24 AM
To: Sweeney, Stephen; Carlin, Jayne
Cc: allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Waye, Don; Wu, Jennifer
Subject: RE: Order for Steve's Legal Review on OR CZARA Products

Steven,

Yes, please review the Forestry-Riparian rationale that Jayne sent you. It is the latest version which incorporates comments from both the technical and management teams.

If you have questions, feel free to e-mail me or give me a call (541-687-7360).

Alan

From: Sweeney, Stephen
Sent: Wednesday, October 01, 2014 8:58 AM
To: Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan
Cc: allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Waye, Don; Wu, Jennifer
Subject: Re: Order for Steve's Legal Review on OR CZARA Products

Thanks, Jayne.

Does "supplemental information" mean that the subject matter addressed in the document would NOT be a basis upon which EPA/NOAA would rely for disapproval/failure to submit an approvable program?

Steve

From: Carlin, Jayne
Sent: Wednesday, October 1, 2014 11:26 AM
To: Henning, Alan; Sweeney, Stephen
Cc: allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Waye, Don; Wu, Jennifer
Subject: Order for Steve's Legal Review on OR CZARA Products

I leave it up to you, Alan on whether Steve should proceed or wait on his review on riparian (I've attached the most current version).

I cc'ed Allison on the order for Steve's review. I know that the OSDS is ready for review (attached). We had made a decision to include the supplemental information, along with the rationale as one package so would need to hunt down the supplemental information for each of these measures. Given his availability, should Steve also review the riparian response to

comments or the general responses to comments now? Are either of these ready for review?

I believe that the forestry/pesticides is just supplemental information and Steve should wait on that one.

Steve, go ahead and review the attached the Qs and As on additional management measures now, as Jeff was seeking attorney review.

Cheers,

Jayne

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm

yosemite.epa.gov/R10/ecocomm.nsf/Watershed+Collaboration/State+Tribal+NPS

From: Sweeney, Stephen
Sent: Wednesday, October 01, 2014 8:06 AM
To: Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan
Subject: Jayne/Alan ... Re: Sept 30 OR CZARA Tech Call Decisions and Action Items

Jayne and Alan,

Quick question based on the info below. Should I hold off on starting on the riparian buffers rationale document pending a new draft?

I am home with a Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy today (and startlingly productive without a work phone or Outlook email notifications) and was going to start in on the riparian buffers document next.

If I should hold off, then please just let me know and I will turn to the forestry/pesticides document (unless there is a forestry/legacy roads rationale document in my inbox that would help you guys more if I started with first).

Please advise.

Thanks,

Steve

From: Carlin, Jayne

Sent: Tuesday, September 30, 2014 9:23 PM

To: Waye, Don; Croxton, Dave; Henning, Alan; Wu, Jennifer; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Solloway, Chris; Flahive, Katie; Rueda, Helen; Parry, Roberta; Melissa Rada - NOAA Affiliate

Cc: Psyk, Christine; Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal; Hall, Lynda; Sweeney, Stephen; Byrne, Jennifer; 'jeff.dillen@noaa.gov'

Subject: Sept 30 OR CZARA Tech Call Decisions and Action Items

Sept 30 OR CZARA Tech Team Meeting

Decisions

- Keep rationales and issue papers separate but combine into one attachment and clearly label each (rationale, supplemental information). Remind reviewers only to carefully edit the rationale and response to comment documents. The supplemental information should only be reviewed for completeness (covering all topics mentioned in Christine's email on 9/25 etc.) and accuracy.
- No need to revise schedule. Work on the agricultural MMs will be delayed until all of the other six topics are completed. *See attached for progress on schedule.*

Action Items

- Christine will send out *NWEA update* to Nina by September 30. *Completed. See Christine's 9/30 email.*
- The management team will discuss preferred option for *pesticides/forestry* during their meeting this week.
- The technical team will decide whether to merge *rationale and issue papers* or keep them separate and provide both of them together for decision making purposes. Done. See decision above.
- All will provide comments on *OSDS Rationale* (see Don's email dated 9/29) by October 6.
- Don will circulate a revised *New Development rationale* during week of September 29. All teams will review and give Don comments no later than one week from the date of receipt. Note that Lynda will be on **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
- Alan will email *Forestry - Riparian Buffers Rationale* that addresses comments received by management and others. All teams will review and give Alan comments no later than one week from the date of receipt. Note that Lynda will be **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
- *Forestry - Landslides Rationale* – Alan received comments from Christine, Jeff Lockwood and Stephen (see 9/26 email). Waiting for comments from Lynda and Joelle who will provide comments on revised draft. Lynda will provide comments before she **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
- *Forestry-Roads Rationale* – Alan received comments from Allison and Teresa Kubo on the latest rationale. Once Alan makes the changes based on their comments, he will send the document to the tech, legal and management teams for their review.
- All will review Jeff's on Qs and As (see my email dated 9/29/14) on *Additional Management Measures* and attorneys will provide comments to Jeff. Will be discussed during the October 9th managers' call. Tech Team will use these talking points to draft response to comments for managerial and legal review. **Ex. 5 - Attorney Client**

Ex. 5 - Attorney Client

- *Forestry-Pesticides*: Tech Team will review Forestry-Pesticides issue paper emailed by Jenny on 9/19.
- *Response to Comments*: Tech Team will review 9/19 version of the Response to Comments (see Allison's email). Jenny will distribute draft responses to comments on pesticides/general and pesticides/forestry to the pesticides team to review. Alan will distribute draft responses to comments on landslides and riparian to the forestry team to review.

Upcoming CZARA Managers Meetings

October 9 at 1 pm/4 pm

October 15 at 2 pm/5 pm

October 21 at noon/3 pm

October 30 at noon/3 pm

October 9th Agenda

- **Housekeeping Items**
- Follow-up on additional MM questions to attorneys
- Follow-up on Forestry/pesticides
- **Action Items/Next Steps**

Upcoming CZARA Tech Meetings

October 7 at 10 am/1 pm

October 14 at 10 am/1 pm

October 21 at 10 am/1 pm

October 28 at 10 am/1 pm

Regards,

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax)
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

